

3 November 2017

eduGAIN Policy Review: RIF

Review of: RENU Master Identity Federation Policy (MIFP) – 2 October 2017

Section	Name	Comments
1	Definitions	Definitions specific to RENU/RIF that the audience might not know.
2	Introduction	References the additional documents supporting this policy. No technology profile for WebSSO/SAML exists. eduoam profile based on AConet.
3.1	Governance	Includes relationship of key groups (RENU Board of Directors, RENU + RIF) and also section “7. Fees”.
3.2	FO Obligations	NREN technical team is the FO. Responsible for inter/national partners and also section “9. Audit and compliance”.
3.3	Member Obligations	Members are responsible for 1 st and 2 nd line support. Members SHOULD have an AUP and SPs MUST have a privacy policy. IdP operators must log consent decisions and transfer attributes between home organisations. Defined SP use of attributes.
4	Eligibility	See “5. Eligibility” defined within the RENU Memorandum and Articles of Association and summarised as research and/or higher education institutions or those that support such research.
5.1	Joining	See “6.1 Membership Application”. From policy template. MUST include an Identity Management Practice Statement.
5.2	Withdrawal	See “6.2 Membership Cancellation”. Shortened version of policy template.
6.1	Termination	See “6.3 Revoking Membership”. Formal notice of concern is specified by the Federation Operator in each case with time period to respond.
6.2	Liability & Indemnification	See “8. Liability”. Is condensed equivalent of the policy template.
6.3	Jurisdiction and dispute resolution	See “10. Governing Laws and Dispute Resolution”. Disputes by arbitration or before the commercial court of Uganda.
6.4	Interfederation	See “2.2 Purpose and Scope of MIFP” which allows RIF to enter interfederation agreements.
6.5	Amendment	See “11. Policy Review”. Review by 2/3 majority of RIF members and approved by the Governance group before publication.
	MRPS	MRPS from 2017/09/29 isn’t using the template as a basis. Covers IdP and SP registration which is referenced on their website. Metadata format isn’t listed except that it is generated and entered into Jagger. IdP/SP approved by FO. Updates/changes don’t need approval. Must be a domain name of that institution/SP, no reference to how DNS/entity validation or use of shibmd:Scope is covered.
	General	Sections borrowed from policies that existed prior to the policy template and therefore not as comprehensive as the template.